

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

## NOTIFICATION OF ELECTION

(PCT Rule 61.2)

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

To:

Commissioner  
 US Department of Commerce  
 United States Patent and Trademark  
 Office, PCT  
 2011 South Clark Place Room  
 CP2/5C24  
 Arlington, VA 22202  
 ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

in its capacity as elected Office

<b>Date of mailing</b> (day/month/year) 30 March 2001 (30.03.01)	
<b>International application No.</b> PCT/US00/11130	<b>Applicant's or agent's file reference</b> 29342/36230
<b>International filing date</b> (day/month/year) 26 April 2000 (26.04.00)	<b>Priority date</b> (day/month/year) 03 August 1999 (03.08.99)
<b>Applicant</b> OREN, Peter, L. et al	

1. The designated Office is hereby notified of its election made:

☒ in the demand filed with the International Preliminary Examining Authority on:

05 February 2001 (05.02.01)

☐ in a notice effecting later election filed with the International Bureau on:
2. The election ☒ was
☐ was not

made before the expiration of 19 months from the priority date or, where Rule 32 applies, within the time limit under Rule 32.2(b).

The International Bureau of WIPO  
 34, chemin des Colombettes  
 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35

Authorized officer

Marie-José Devillard

Telephone No.: (41-22) 338.83.38

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

# PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF  
THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT  
OR THE DECLARATION

(PCT Rule 44.1)

To:

MARSHALL O'TOOLE GERSTEIN,  
MURRAY & BORUN  
Attn. NAPOLI, J  
6300 Sears Tower  
233 South Wacker Drive  
Chicago, Illinois 60606-6402  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Date of mailing  
(day/month/year)

07/09/2000

Applicant's or agent's file reference

29342/36230

**FOR FURTHER ACTION**

See paragraphs 1 and 4 below

International application No.

PCT/US 00/11130

International filing date

(day/month/year)

26/04/2000

Applicant

LILLY ICOS LLC et al.

**DOCKETED:** 11/7/00

1. ☒ The applicant is hereby notified that the International Search Report has been established and is transmitted herewith.

**Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19:**

The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the International Application (see Rule 46):

**When?** The time limit for filing such amendments is normally 2 months from the date of transmittal of the International Search Report; however, for more details, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.

**Where?** Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO  
34, chemin des Colombettes  
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland  
Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35

For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.

2. ☐ The applicant is hereby notified that no International Search Report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect is transmitted herewith.

3. ☐ With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:

☐ the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.

☐ no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.

4. **Further action(s):** The applicant is reminded of the following:

Shortly after **18 months** from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication.

Within **19 months** from the priority date, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later).

Within **20 months** from the priority date, the applicant must perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before all designated Offices which have not been elected in the demand or in a later election within 19 months from the priority date or could not be elected because they are not bound by Chapter II.

Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority



European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL-2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040. Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Catherine Humbert

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions respectively.

## INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international publication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

### What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

### When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

### Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been/is filed, see below.

### How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

### What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

#### Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

1. [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:  
"Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
2. [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]:  
"Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
3. [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]:  
"Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or  
"Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]:  
"Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

**"Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)**

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

**It must be in the language in which the international application is to be published.**

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

**Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed**

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the International Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Rule 62.2(a), first sentence).

**Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase**

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the  
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:

NAPOLI, J., J.  
MARSHALL O'TOOLE GERSTEIN,  
MURRAY & BORUN  
6300 Sears Tower  
233 South Wacker Drive  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

## PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF  
THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY  
EXAMINATION REPORT  
(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year)	05.12.2001
-------------------------------------	------------

Applicant's or agent's file reference 29342/36230	<b>IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION</b>
--	-------------------------------

International application No. PCT/US00/11130	International filing date (day/month/year) 26/04/2000	Priority date (day/month/year) 03/08/1999
---	--	--

Applicant LILLY ICOS LLC et al.
------------------------------------

1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

#### 4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/	Authorized officer
---------------------------------------	--------------------

 European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	Senkel, H  Tel. +49 89 2399-8071
---	--



# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## PCT

14  
REC'D 07 DEC 2001

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 29342/36230	<b>FOR FURTHER ACTION</b> See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/US00/11130	International filing date (day/month/year) 26/04/2000	Priority date (day/month/year) 03/08/1999
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC A61K31/495		
Applicant LILLY ICOS LLC et al.		

1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.


2. This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet.

- ☐ This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).

These annexes consist of a total of sheets.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

- I    ☒ Basis of the report
- II   ☐ Priority
- III ☒ Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- IV   ☐ Lack of unity of invention
- V    ☒ Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- VI   ☐ Certain documents cited
- VII ☐ Certain defects in the international application
- VIII ☒ Certain observations on the international application

Date of submission of the demand  05/02/2001	Date of completion of this report  05.12.2001
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:  European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	Authorized officer  Blott, C  Telephone No. +49 89 2399 7538



**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY  
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. PCT/US00/11130

**I. Basis of the report**

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (*Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)*):  
**Description, pages:**

1-28 as originally filed

**Claims, No.:**

1-27 as originally filed

2. With regard to the **language**, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:

- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
- ☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).
3. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:
- ☐ contained in the international application in written form.
- ☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
- ☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
- ☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.
4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
- ☐ the description, pages:
- ☐ the claims, Nos.:
- ☐ the drawings, sheets:
5. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/US00/11130

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

## III. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

1. The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:

- ☐ the entire international application.
- ☒ claims Nos. 26,27.

because:

- ☒ the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 26 (with regard to industrial applicability) relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (*specify*):  
**see separate sheet**
  - ☐ the description, claims or drawings (*indicate particular elements below*) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (*specify*):
  - ☐ the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.
  - ☒ no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos. 27 (incomplete).
2. A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions:
- ☐ the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.
  - ☐ the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.

## V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes:	Claims	5,7,10-11,13,17-25
	No:	Claims	1-4,6,8-9,12,14-16,26-27
Inventive step (IS)	Yes:	Claims	
	No:	Claims	1-27
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes:	Claims	1-25,27



**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY  
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. PCT/US00/11130

---

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations  
**see separate sheet**

**VIII. Certain observations on the international application**

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:  
**see separate sheet**

**SECTION III**

1. The IPEA will only formulate an assessment of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability for the present claims for which an International Search Report has been drawn up, i.e. claims 1-26 (complete) and claim 27 (incomplete) (Rule 66.1(e) PCT)(cf. form PCT/ISA/210, box I.2).
2. Claim 26 relates to a subject-matter considered by this authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of this claim (Art. 34(4)(a)(i) PCT).

**SECTION V**

3. a) The following documents, which were cited in the International Search Report, are referred to in this report; the numbering will be adhered to in the rest of the procedure:

D1: WO 97 03675 cited in the application

D2: WO 96 38131 cited in the application

D3: WO 98 23270

b) D1 refers to the use of cGMP-phosphodiesterase inhibitors such as the compound mentioned in present claim 1 (=compound A), for the treatment of erectile dysfunction (cf. page 1, lines 1-6 and claims 1, 2). On page 13, D1 discloses tablets comprising:

-compound A	50 mg (10% w/w)
-polyvinyl pyrrolidone (PVP)	150 mg (30% w/w)
-polyethylene glycol (PEG)	50 mg (10% w/w)
-polysorbate 80	10 mg (2% w/w)
-magnesium stearate	2,5 mg (0,5% w/w)
-croscarmellose sodium	25 mg (5% w/w)
-colloidal silicon dioxide	2,5 mg (0,5% w/w)
-microcrystalline cellulose	210 mg (42% w/w)

The compositions of D1 may also be administered in the form of capsules or ovules (cf. page 5, line 20 and pages 15-16).

c) D2 is a patent application of the same applicant as D1. D2 discloses pharmaceutical formulations comprising a co-precipitate of compound A with

hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose phthalate (cf. examples). D2 does not disclose formulations comprising compound A as a free drug, provided that compounds comprised in a co-precipitate do not fall within the definition of the term "free drug" (cf. description page 5, lines 24-27 and section VIII 7.).

d) D3 refers to pharmaceutical formulations for oral administration of certain 3,4-diarylchromans in combinations with a hydrophilic binder and a water-soluble diluent (cf. page 1, lines 1-12). D3 does not refer to pharmaceutical formulations comprising compound A.

4. Novelty

a) D1 anticipates the subject-matter of present claim 1, since it discloses (cf. item 3.b)) pharmaceutical formulations comprising the active compound of claim 1 as a free drug, PEG, which may be considered a water-soluble diluent, magnesium stearate and colloidal silicon dioxide, which are lubricants, PVP=povidone, which is a hydrophilic binder, croscarmellose sodium, which is a disintegrant, polysorbate 80, which is a wetting agent and microcrystalline cellulose.

The subject-matter of claim 1 therefore lacks novelty over D1 (Art. 33(2) PCT).

b) Item a) also applies to dependent claims 2-4, 6, 8-9, 12, 14-16 as well as to claims 26-27.

c) None of the above-cited documents discloses nor anticipates the subject-matter of claims 5, 7, 10-11, 13, 17-25. The subject-matter of said claims therefore is new over the cited prior art documents (Art. 33(2) PCT).

5. Inventive step

Dependent claims 5, 7, 10-11, 13, 17-25 do not seem to contain any technical feature which is not easily derivable from D1, the closest prior art document, or which is not a matter of routine for the skilled person. The subject-matter of said claims therefore appears to lack an inventive step, especially since the Applicant did not provide evidence for the claimed effect, i.e. enhanced dosage uniformity, stability and bioavailability (cf. description page 7, lines 21-28) (Art. 33(3) PCT).

6. Industrial applicability

For the assessment of the present claim 26 on the question whether it is industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

**SECTION VIII**

7. The term "...free drug..." used in claim 1 and in the description is unclear, especially since salts and solvates of the active compound also seem to fall within said definition (cf. page 4, lines 1-3 and page 5, lines 24-27) (Art. 6 PCT).

8. The term "about" in relation to a range used in claims 4-5, 8, 10, 12-14, 19-25 and in the description is vague and unclear (Art. 6 PCT).

9. Claim 16 erroneously refers back to claim 1. Claim 16 is dependent on claim 3 and should therefore contain, if possible at the beginning, a reference to this claim (Rule 6.4(a) PCT).

10. Claims 23 and 24 comprise all the features of claim 22 and are therefore not appropriately formulated as claims dependent on the latter (Rule 6.4(a) PCT).

11. It seems that the following features are not referred to in the description. The claims are therefore not supported by the description as required by Art. 6 PCT:

-claims 7, 9, 11, 15, 16: "...mixtures..."

-claims 13, 20: "...5%..."

-claim 19: "...formulation comprising: (a)...(b)...(e) ...10% by weight croscarmellose sodium..."

-claims 22-24: "... a tablet comprising ...1 to about 20 mg (5 to about 15 mg) (5mg or about 10 mg)..."

-claim 25: "...about 1 to about 20 mg per capsule..."